

Appln. No. 10/780,477  
Amendment dated December 29, 2004  
Reply to Office Action mailed September 30, 2004

### REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 20 remain in this application. No claims have been cancelled, withdrawn, or added.

Claims 1 through 20 of the present patent application have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,712,347.

Submitted with this paper is a terminal disclaimer with accompanying Statement of Ownership under 37 CFR 3.73(b)(1)(ii). It is submitted that this terminal disclaimer overcomes the double patenting rejection of claims 1 through 20 set forth in the Office Action.

Therefore, withdrawal of the double patenting rejection of claims 1 through 20 is respectfully requested.

### CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By



Date:

DEC. 29, 2004

Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)  
LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.  
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250  
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807  
(605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931